

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,157	12/05/2001	Kwang Hyup An	3449-0184P	2260
2292	7590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
	WART KOLASCH &	KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHU	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3753	

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/002,157	AN ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 02 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the AM SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.5 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I36(a) and the appropriate extended fee. The appropriate extended for action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):	•					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the							
application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly							
raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment	t/a\ a\□ will not be entered as b	ND will be entered	and an				
explanation of how the new or amended claims w			anu an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		, •					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. ☐ Other: <u>Surview</u> Summany	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	 gmesh Krish	Junually				
·		Ramesh Krishnam Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3753	nurthy				

Art Unit: 3753

## **Interview Summary (Attachment)**

The examiner informed attorney that each of the limitations "said plurality of continuous grooves being located beyond a perimeter of said suction plate or said discharge plate" recited in the independent claims 1 and 14 and the limitation "the groove located beyond a perimeter of said suction plate or said discharge plate" in claim 12 is not consistent with the disclosure since the discharge/suction plates have a tongue-like structure and the grooves recited in the claims are not located beyond a perimeter of the discharge plate or suction plate since perimeter of the plate(s) includes the tongue portion as well. Attorney agreed to file a response in due course after consultation with the client, taking this issue into consideration. Examiner explained to the attorney that it was this particular limitation that resulted in the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph in the final office action and that the office action, in applying prior art, clearly considered the groove(s) to be disposed on the valve plate and not on the suction or the discharge plate.



Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant's arguments are not persuasive. See attached interview summary.